

### FOIA Cases with Production Schedules and/or Status Report Orders

This list includes cases in which a production schedule or status report has either been ordered by a District Court or in which the parties have represented to the Court that they will produce documents on a certain schedule.

Case Name	Cite	Rate/Production Frequency
<i>Child. 's Health Def. v. Food &amp; Drug Admin.</i>	No. 23-220, 2024 WL 147851 (D.D.C. Jan. 12, 2024)	"[T]he [first] order required the FDA to produce <b>55,000</b> pages per month, the [second] order requires the FDA to produce <b>360,000</b> pages per month from January 2024 onward." The case was later stayed for six months, and a joint status report was ordered.
<i>Informed Consent Action Network v. Food &amp; Drug Admin.</i>	No. 1:24-CV-1761 (CJN), 2024 WL 4836405, at *1 (D.D.C. Nov. 20, 2024)	The FDA was required to produce at least <b>90,000</b> pages per month from July 2023 to November 2023.
<i>Pub. Health &amp; Med. Pros. for Transparency v. Food &amp; Drug Admin.</i>	No. 4:21-CV-1058-P, 2022 WL 90237, at *2 (N.D. Tex. Jan. 6, 2022)	"The FDA shall produce the remaining documents ["[a]ll data and information for the Pfizer Vaccine"] at a rate of <b>55,000</b> pages every <b>30 days</b> , with the first production being due on or before March 1, 2022, until production is complete."
<i>Nat'l Day Laborer Org. Network v. U.S. Immigration &amp; Customs Enf't Agency</i>	811 F. Supp. 2d 713, 731 (S.D.N.Y. 2011)	Ordering ICE to fully respond to an outstanding FOIA request that required producing over 14,000 pages in one month.
<i>Nat. Res. Def. Council v. Dep't of Energy</i>	191 F. Supp. 2d 41, 43 (D.D.C. 2002).	The Court ordered the Energy Department to process <b>7,500</b> pages; to complete the processing of the "vast majority" of the material within <b>thirty-two</b> (32) days; to complete all processing within forty-eight (48) days; and to provide the requester with a Vaughn index within sixty-three (63) days. <i>Id.</i> at 43-44. "In addition to having no legal, or practical, justification for working at a glacial pace on Plaintiff's FOIA request until suit was filed in December, the material which Plaintiff seeks is of extraordinary public interest."
<i>Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Dep't of Energy</i>	191 F.Supp.2d 138, 140-41 (D.D.C.2002)	Ordered agencies to process over <b>6000</b> pages of material within <b>60 days</b> .

<i>NRDC v. Dep't of Energy</i>	191 F.Supp.2d 41, 43 (D.D.C.2002)	Ordered the “vast majority” of the processing of <b>7500</b> pages to be completed within <b>32 days</b> .
<i>Villanueva v. United States Dep't of Just.</i>	No. 19-23452-CIV, 2021 WL 5882995, at *1 (S.D. Fla. Dec. 13, 2021)	“[T]he defendants shall process the 20,500 pages of withheld documents responsive to the plaintiff's June 2018 FOIA request at a rate of <b>5,125</b> pages per <b>month</b> which shall be completed by April 13, 2022. Any documents or portions of documents that are withheld from disclosure shall be adequately described in a <i>Vaughn</i> index that must accompany the monthly production.”
<i>Clemente v. FBI</i>	71 F. Supp. 3d 262, 269 (D.D.C. 2014)	Ordered the FBI to produce at least <b>5,000</b> pages per month in case alleging agency corruption, which was the focus of a number of media reports and an ongoing investigation by the Office of the Inspector General for the Department of Justice.
<i>Open Soc'y Just. Initiative v. Cent. Intel. Agency</i>	399 F. Supp. 3d 161, 176 (S.D.N.Y. 2019)	Ordered the State Department and DOD to each process <b>5,000</b> pages per month, in light of the “anticipated duration of the ... review,” the “exceptional public importance” of the documents regarding the murder of a prominent journalist [Jamal Khashoggi], and “unusual time-sensitivity” that was “front-page news” when plaintiff “lodged its FOIA requests.”
<i>Judge Rotenberg Educ. Ctr., Inc. v. U.S. Food &amp; Drug Admin.</i>	376 F. Supp. 3d 47, 57 (D.D.C. 2019)	CDRH and any other HHS component to which a referral has been made” were ordered to “make a rolling production of no fewer than <b>5,000</b> pages per month to the plaintiffs... Separately, the Court entered a scheduling order.”
<i>Elec. Privacy Info. Ctr. v. Dep't of Justice</i>	Civ. No. 05–845, slip op. at *4–5 (D.D.C. Nov. 13, 2005)	Noting that the agency's processing of the plaintiff's expedited request was “unnecessarily slow and inefficient” and ordering the agency to process 1500 pages every 15 calendar days until processing is complete)[ordering DHS to produce <b>3,000</b> pages per <b>month</b> .
<i>Middle E. F. v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Sec.</i>	297 F. Supp. 3d 183, 185 (D.D.C. 2018)	“Mr. Holzer asserts that the office is subject to court orders in three cases that collectively require [it] to process at least <b>2,500</b> pages per month, and anticipates that court orders may be issued in one or more other pending cases. In addition [the agency] has been processing approximately <b>3,000</b> additional pages per month for other cases that are in litigation but for which the

		court has not ordered [it] to process a minimum number of pages per month.
<i>Elec. Priv. Info. Ctr. v. United States Dep't of Homeland Sec.</i>	218 F. Supp. 3d 27, 37 (D.D.C. 2016)	“The Court ordered DHS to fully review at least <b>2,000</b> document pages per month, “producing to Plaintiff all responsive and unclassified documents,” ... The Order also required DHS to submit a monthly report indicating how many document pages it produced to EPIC each month.”
<i>Am. C.L. Union Found. of S. California v. United States Immigr. &amp; Customs Enf't</i>	705 F. Supp. 3d 1077 (C.D. Cal. 2023)	Ordered ICE to produce at a rate of <b>3,000</b> pages per <b>month</b> .
<i>Los Angeles Times Commc'ns LLC v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Sec.</i>	No. 220CV10911FLAMRWX, 2022 WL 18932816, at *3 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 7, 2022)	Upholding order to release <b>3,000</b> pages per <b>month</b> processing rate.
<i>Nat'l Public Radio, Inc. v. U.S. Department of Treasury</i>	No. 19-cv-17, 3-4 (D.D.C. Aug. 23, 2019)	Ordering agency to review <b>3,000</b> pages per <b>month</b> .
<i>Inst. for Just. v. Internal Revenue Serv.</i>	547 F. Supp. 3d 1, 12 (D.D.C. 2021)	“Require the IRS to now process <b>3,000</b> pages per month” related to civil asset forfeiture.
<i>Seavey v. Dep't of Justice</i>	266 F. Supp.3d 241, 248 (D.D.C. 2017)	Ordered the FBI to produce at least <b>2,850</b> pages per <b>month</b> .
<i>Stevens v. United States Dep't of Health &amp; Hum. Servs.</i>	666 F. Supp. 3d 734, 749 (N.D. Ill. 2023)	ICE is ordered to process <b>1,500</b> pages of documents responsive to Stevens's FOIA request per month until production is complete. Preliminary Injunction.
<i>Center for Gender and Refugee Studies, et al. v. U.S. Customs and Border Protection,</i>	No. 3:24-cv-01601 (N.D. Cal., 2024) (ECF No. 21)	<b>1,200</b> page per month review and production schedule.
<i>Al Otro Lado v. DHS</i>	20-cv-05191, Dkt. 35 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 23, 2020) ECF No. 35	Ordered release of <b>1,000</b> pages per <b>month</b> .

<i>Boundaoui v. FBI,</i>	No. 17 C 4782, 2020 WL 5658704, at *7 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 23, 2020)	Ordering the defendants to process the plaintiff's expedited FOIA request "at a rate of <b>1,000</b> pages per month."
<i>Advocs. for the West v. Bonneville Power Admin.,</i>	No. 3:20-CV-01028- AC, 2021 WL 2229280, at *6-7 (D. Or. June 2, 2021)	<b>1,000</b> pages per month
<i>ACLU v. BOP</i>	1:20-cv-03031, (D.D.C.) ECF No. 16	It is FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants shall file a Status Report on ... updating the Court on the processing of Plaintiffs' FOIA requests.
<i>Innovation Law Lab v. U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement</i>	2:22-cv-00443, (D.N.M.), ECF No. 12.	ORDER TO COMPLY WITH STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS AND GRANT EXPEDITED PROCESSING Defendant is ordered to grant expedited processing... provide Plaintiff with a letter granting expedited processing and providing all information required by the statute, including an estimated number of pages/records and an estimated timeline for production; and comply with all timeliness and other requirements set forth in the applicable statutes and regulations... Defendant will provide the Court and Plaintiff with a status update at the Status Conference.
<i>Daily Caller News Found. v. Fed. Bureau of Investigation,</i>	387 F. Supp. 3d 112, 115 (D.D.C. 2019)	Upon the filing of the FBI's Answer, the Court ordered the parties to confer and propose a schedule for proceeding in this matter. <i>See</i> Order (Oct. 15, 2018), ECF No. 12. Pursuant to that Order, the parties filed a Joint Status Report.
<i>Poulsen v. Dep't of Def,</i>	994 F.3d 1046, 1049 (9th Cir. 2021)	The district court adopted defendants' proposed disclosure schedule in a minute order dated that day (the "March 27 Order"), and directed the DOJ to "complete processing and production of responsive, non-exempt documents subject to FOIA by ..."